





# Harmonization of the Directors' Duty to Initiate Insolvency Proceedings?

Anna Zemandlova, Ph.D. Masaryk University, Brno







#### Content

- Introduction
- Methodology and Aims of the Proposal
- Existing EU Legislative Framework
- Key Issues to Discuss
- Concluding Remarks







#### Introduction

- The idea of harmonizing substantive insolvency law has been a longstanding matter of concern on the EU Level.
- Duties and liability of directors is one of the areas where harmonisation was found both desirable and feasible.
- → EC Proposal for a directive harmonising certain aspects of insolvency law (Proposal) – Title V Directors Duty to Request the Opening of Insolvency Proceedings and Civil Liability









### **Article 36 of the Proposal**

#### Duty to request the opening of insolvency proceedings

"Member States shall ensure that, where a legal entity becomes insolvent, its directors are obliged to submit a request for the opening of insolvency proceedings with the court no later than 3 months after the directors became aware or can reasonably be expected to have been aware that the legal entity is insolvent."









# Methodology and Aims of the Proposal

- Methodology:
  - ✓ The proposal aims for minimum harmonization in targeted areas.
  - ✓ Creating common standards across all Member States.

#### • Aims:

- ✓ Reduce differences in national insolvency laws (increase predictability, reduce costs, support cross-border investsments).
- ✓ Stimulate an early action and maximise the value of the insolvency estate.









### **Existing EU Legislative Framework**

- EPRS Briefing (March 2023): "The proposal partly complements but also differs from two recently adopted pieces of legislation…" (PRD 2019, EIRR 2015)
- Chapter 5 of the PRD 2019 Duties of directors where there is likehood of insolvency









### **Key Issues to Discuss**

- Effect and legitimity of harmonization of the directors's duties.
- Achievability of the goals set up by the Proposal.
- Consistency with the idea of developing a corporate rescue culture (PRD 2019)?









# **Effectivity and Legitimity of Harmonization**

• Duty to open insolvency proceedings is already enacted in 19 Member States.

• The **high degree of variability** in the concepts of insolvency and their interpretation is not subject to harmonization.









# Consistency with the Idea of Corporate Rescue

- Risk of premature filing for insolvency (worse position for the creditors).
- How the directors may be supported in their rescue efforts?







### **Concluding Remarks**

- Introducing a harmonized obligation to initiate insolvency proceedings may be considered useful and justified.
- The vague and ambiguous wording of the proposal will make it difficult to achieve the desired degree of harmonization.
- Differences in national legislation are unlikely to diminish.
- It's uncertain whether the maximization of the insolvency estate's value can be achieved.









Thank you! Questions?

anna.zemandlova@law.muni.cz