

Country Reports

Autumn 2015

Updates from Spain, France, Germany, Hungary, USA and Ireland



J. VICENTE ESTRADA
Senior Partner, Forest Partners,
Madrid (Spain)

Spain: La Seda de Barcelona

The sale of a supranational business unit implied in insolvency proceedings in different European countries under the common jurisdiction of a Barcelona Commercial Court.

La Seda de Barcelona, S.A. (LSB), a company listed on the Madrid and Barcelona Stock Exchanges, was the parent of a multinational plastic packaging group integrating the whole value chain from the industrial production of PET right through to manufacturing of the preforms used by customers to hold their products, whether soft drinks, water, yoghurts, cleaning products or any other of countless possibilities. LSB's Packaging Division (known as Artenius PET Packaging Europe or APPE) operated 14 plants in Europe, Turkey and North Africa.

LSB was, however, saddled with debts of €476 million to financial creditors under a Senior Facility Agreement (SFA) and a PIK Loan, both of which were guaranteed by its affiliates.

Despite the dependable earnings of the Packaging Division on annual revenues of some €600 million and Ebitda of around €50 million, LSB began to experience serious cash flow problems in 2012 given the negative margins of the PET business and the continuous outgoings needed to service its debt.

Recognising that it would not be able to stave off default on the SFA loan, LSB sought unsuccessfully to renegotiate and



refinance its debt with the lenders – being most of them, special situation funds – who demanded capitalisation of a part of the loans made.

In these circumstances, LSB filed for voluntary insolvency in the commercial courts of Barcelona on 17 June 2013 together with twelve of its affiliates, seven of them established in other Member States of the European Union.

On 4 July 2013, Barcelona Commercial Court No. 1 declared LSB and its twelve affiliates insolvent, at the same time confirming the international jurisdiction of the Barcelona courts under article 3.1 of Council Regulation (EC) No. 1346/2000, of 29 May 2000, on insolvency proceedings, insofar as the centre of the LSB Group's main interests was situated in Barcelona.

After failing in its endeavour to reach an arrangement with the creditors, LSB entered liquidation on 29 January 2014.

The court-appointed Insolvency Administrator, Forest Partners, designed a liquidation plan based on the sale of the APPE Packaging Division as the principal means to maximise recovery for the Group's creditors.

The sale was never going to be easy for three reasons. To begin with, the shares of the affiliates could not be sold without first obtaining a waiver from the lenders under the SFA and PIK loans, because the debt was secured against guarantees extended by these companies. This proved impossible, however. Meanwhile, LSB was already in liquidation, but its affiliates were still at an earlier stage in the insolvency process. Finally, the Packaging Division's assets were spread among numerous companies located in different countries.

In these circumstances, Forest Partners requested the Court to approve the public, open and competitive sale of the Business Unit formed by the



LSB WAS SADDLED WITH DEBTS OF €476 MILLION TO FINANCIAL CREDITORS UNDER A SENIOR FACILITY AGREEMENT (SFA) AND A PIK LOAN



Supranational APPE Packaging Division, comprising a) the industrial property used by APPE in its manufacturing process, an asset owned by LSB; b) the business units owned by the affiliates APPE UK, APPE Iberia, APPE France, APPE Benelux and APPE Deutschland; and c) the shares of APPE Polska, APPE Turkpack and APPE Maroc.

The Court finally approved the sale process for the Supranational APPE Business Unit on 29 July 2014, and Forest Partners proceeded to set up a Virtual Data Room and contact leading industrial and financial players worldwide in order to initiate an M&A process consisting of a first round of indicative bids followed by two further rounds for binding offers.

A key differentiating factor compared to other sales of business units in Spain was the application for the first time of the urgent insolvency measures enshrined in the Royal Decree 11/2004, which allows the acquirer of a business unit to

assume certain liabilities of the debtor and claims against the insolvency estate. In the case of the Packaging Division, the acquirer would take on debts with employees, trade payables and borrowings arranged to finance the working capital, thereby ensuring the continuity of the businesses transferred by allowing the creditors concerned to recover their claims, which would otherwise have been diluted with those of LSB's financial creditors, whose claims were secured against the guarantees issued by the APPE Division affiliates.

The sale process was swiftly concluded, and on 5 November 2014 Forest Partners was able to announce the selection of the bid made by the US concern Plastipak Holdings Inc., which consisted of a price of €360 million for the Supranational Packaging Unit's assets payable net of the liabilities assumed by the acquirer at the completion date. The Court authorised the sale under the agreed terms on 26 January 2015.

Meanwhile, Forest Partners was able to obtain waivers for the guarantees granted by APPE Turkpack and APPE Maroc allowing for the sale of these companies, and a Master Agreement was made with Plastipak on 31 March 2015, subject to approval by the European competition authorities, which gave the green light. The transaction was finally completed on 1 July 2015.

Given its characteristics, this whole operation sets a pattern for both Spain and Europe as a whole, not only for the complexity of its structure, which involved assets, shares, properties and rights situated in different countries, but also for the way in which the sale process was handled and the first-time application of new legislation, enabling the seller to fetch a higher price than it otherwise could have for the APPE Business Unit to the benefit of the Group's creditors.

“

THIS WHOLE OPERATION SETS A PATTERN FOR BOTH SPAIN AND EUROPE AS A WHOLE

”

”

France: New rules for the biggest troubled companies and their shareholders

The Law called “Loi Macron” (according to the name of the French Minister of Finance and Economy) has been passed on 6 August 2015. It is meant to amend the Commercial Code on two relevant points.

1. The French legislator has created a new level of commercial courts called “Specialised Commercial Courts”.

These courts will have jurisdiction to deal with the insolvency of the biggest companies with at least 250 employees and a turnover of €20 million. They will also have jurisdiction over companies having a turnover of at least €40 million and over any other company which, together with its subsidiaries, meets the above criteria. The Courts will be

chosen by the Government in main economic areas (labour and business pools).

Moreover, the new Law sets a rule of jurisdiction based on the centre of main interests of the parent company so that the pending proceedings concerning insolvent subsidiaries will have to be transferred to the competent Specialised Commercial Court.

Finally, these Courts will be entitled to appoint a common insolvency practitioner in order to facilitate the coordination of the different proceedings (as Commercial Courts can already do).

These new rules will enter into force on 1 March 2016. They will have to be in harmony with the EU Regulation 2015/848, which disposes of several new mechanisms for coordination and contains detailed measures for cooperation between practitioners.

2. Another significant reform concerns the rights of the shareholders who are opposed to

the rescue plan: the new law gives the commercial courts the right to impose a capital increase which can facilitate such a rescue plan.

Several conditions have been defined: (1) the modification of the capital has to be proved necessary in order to protect the company from winding up; (2) it must be shown that such a winding up would seriously trouble to local economy; (3) a specific application will have to be filed by the administrator or by the public prosecutor to the Court, which will appoint a practitioner for voting in favour of a plan; and (4) the shareholders opposing the plan will have the possibility to withdraw from the company.

Sources: Commercial Code, Art. L.721-8 and L.662-8 (“Specialised Commercial Courts”); Art. L.233-1 and L.233-2 ((Definition of control and subsidiaries); Art. L.631-19-2 (forced sale of shares).



JEAN-LUC VALLENS
Judge, Colmar Court of Appeal